Installations & Logistics (LP) HQMC

Equipment Visibility & Accountability


Headquarters Marine Corps (I&L)
LOG Board Presentation
Supporting Documentation & Analysis
from
MCLC/MARFORCOM



Agenda




- Background/Problem Statement
- Asset Management
- Controlled Items Accountability DASHBOARD
- Current Initiatives
- End State
- Discussion





San Diego Police and the California Highway Patrol chase a stolen military tank down Interstate 805. The tank was stolen from the National Guard Armory.



"A minimum 98 percent physical inventory accuracy rate (100 percent for classified or sensitive property) shall be achieved and maintained." DoDI 5000.64

- CMC White Letter 03-08 dated 16 May 2008 states that sustained combat operations of Marine Forces has presented commanders at all levels with a myriad of challenges to include equipment accountability.
- To meet that challenge commanders must apply the appropriate level of attention in the areas of equipment accountability IAW existing equipment accountability policy and procedures to ensure accurate readiness reporting.
- Commanders should leverage available resources to identify and correct equipment accountability discrepancies to include Marine Corps Equipment Information Readiness Tool (MERIT) data base and

"Sustained Edinbas and Protection ASSIBINITION COCCER PROSE (DAYIS) d challenges to commanders at all levels. One such challenge, equipment accountability, based on recent reports by the Inspector General, must be improved to ensure the Marine Corps can effectively meet ongoing and future mission requirements."

CMC White Letter No. 03-08 - Equipment Accountability



Asset Management



- People
 - Operational Forces
 - Logistics Knowledge
 - Operational Tempo
 - Data Assurance Team

Process

- Controlled Items validation
- Accountability and visibility of Controlled Items
- Systems of Record (SASSY, ATLASS, STRATIS) Configuration Control Boards (CCBs)
- Serialized Item Management
- Policy

Technology

- Total Force Structure Management System (TFSMS) and Technical Data Management System (TDMS)
- System of Record data validation



Controlled Items Dashboard

Main-Dashboard


Print | Send | Log

Page 1 | Page 2 | Page 3

MARFOR FA ACCOUNTABILITY

FA		Comm	Engineers	Transpt	Ord	NBC
IMEF	•	88%	78%	95%	102%	N/A
IIMEF	•	88%	82%	95%	91%	N/A
IIIMEF	•	96%	92%	99%	89%	N/A
MARFORRES	•	76%	75%	80%	90%	N/A
MPS	•	93%	69%	65%	101%	N/A

MARSOC & S	E					
MARSOC	•	99%	75%	104%	85%	N/A
SE	~	67%	53%	45%	70%	N/A





Current Initiatives



- Controlled Items Management
 - Asset Management and Visibility
 - Small Arms Accountability and In-Transit Validation
 - Oversight from HQMC w/ MCLC analysis & mgmt
- Policy
- Emphasis on Log Tools for Accountability/Visibility N
 - Crane Web Site
 - MERIT
 - Total Force Structure Management System (TFSMS)
- Reviewing DAT expansion/scope
 - POM 10 Initiative
 - MARFORCOM/II MEF Accountability Summit with LPC/LPV
- MROAG and MCLC (LCC) Analysis & Engagement
 - Accountability COA's
 - Continued Serial # tracking/performance
- MARFORCOM CMD endorsement direction to MEF on DAT Assessments
 - Formal Report/Executive Summary endorsement process





End State



People

- In concert with LP, TECOM review current training curriculum, revise as needed
- Create a HQMC/MARFOR/MEF Governance Capability

Process

- Expanded DAT presence at MEF level in order to:
 - Train and advise supply personnel
 - Ensure compliance
 - Conduct asset management assessments
 - Coordinate and establish accountability MOE/P

Technology

System of Record (SOR) Configuration Control Board (CCB)



Discussion



- Accountability WIPT in OCT O8.
 - Asset Management Compliance
 - Expanded DAT Scope/Mission
 - Playbook Framework
 - FSMAO Bring Back?
 - MCLC Role
 - OPFOR Role
 - SMAT
 - CGRI
- Stakeholder Input
 - Must hear from MARFORCOM/MARFORPAC, MARFORRES, MARSOC and MEFs (challenges, input, etc)
- Posture for Quality Data Migration into future Systems

